DDAS Accident Report

Accident details

Report date: 19/04/2006 Accident number: 58

Accident time: not recorded Accident Date: 04/06/1997

Where it occurred: Cunge / Chipeta, Bie Country: Angola

Province

Primary cause: Field control Secondary cause: Field control

inadequacy (?) inadequacy (?)

Class: Other Date of main report: [No date recorded]

ID original source: none Name of source: INAROEE

Organisation: [Name removed]

Mine/device: not recorded Ground condition: not recorded

Date record created: 23/01/2004 Date last modified: 23/01/2004

No of victims: 1 No of documents: 1

Map details

Longitude: Latitude:

Alt. coord. system: Coordinates fixed by:

Map east: Map north:

Map scale: not recorded Map series:

Map edition: Map sheet:

Map name:

Accident Notes

inadequate investigation (?)

no independent investigation available (?)

visor not worn or worn raised (?)

Accident report

No accident report was made available. The demining group's country office had no copy.

The accident was listed on a simple spreadsheet held by the country MAC. The injury was given as "upper jaw".

The demining group's UK office claimed not to keep copies of accident reports in January 1999. By the date of this database revision, they had still not made any available.

Victim Report

Victim number: 80 Name: [Name removed]

Age: Gender: Male

Status: deminer Fit for work: not known

Compensation: not made available Time to hospital: not recorded

Protection issued: Long visor Protection used: not recorded

Short frontal vest

Summary of injuries:

INJURIES

severe Face

COMMENT

No medical report was made available.

Analysis

The primary cause of this accident is listed as a "Field control inadequacy" because the deminer suffered an upper jaw injury which implies that he was not wearing his visor correctly (or not wearing it at all). The demining group involved claimed that all staff wore visors down at all times while in the field. The victim's failure to wear his visor properly without being corrected represents a failing of field management.

In 1999, the demining group's UK head office claimed not to keep copies of field accidents. This is now known to be untrue. The UK management's unwillingness to share data openly could be taken to imply that they sought to conceal things. Its field staff have usually shared the information that is available to them.

In 2000, agreement was reached on the sharing of data. By the date of this database revision, that data was still not shared.